

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

GREGG ANTHONY HAWLEY,

Plaintiff,

V.

NANCY A. BERRYHILL,  
*Acting Commissioner of Social Security,*

Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-01049-RFB-NJK

## **ORDER**

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 22] of the Honorable Nancy J. Koppe, United States Magistrate Judge, entered June 23, 2017.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by July 7, 2017. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

1           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 22] is  
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion for Reversal and/or Remand  
4 (Docket No. 9) is **DENIED**.

5           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant's Cross-Motion to Affirm (Docket No. 10)  
6 is **GRANTED**.

7           DATED: August 9, 2017.

8             
9           

---

**RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
10           United States District Judge

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28